| Sr. No. | RFP (Page Number) | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| 1 | 12 | | Regarding the EMD fee. | Request consideration for EMD exemption under MSME guidelines. | As per RFP |
| 2 | 92 | Clause 7.7 | As per the condition in the covering letter of the bid submission format, selected bidder has to establish a local office in Jaipur. | We respectfully request you to kindly consider allowing participation based on the bidder should give an undertaking for establishment of an office, within one month of award of the contract | As per revised RFP |
| 3 | 18 | Clause 2 | Average annual turnover should be at least INR 15 Crores during last three financial years from IT/ITES projects in application software development and its associated support and maintenance service (FMS) in India. | In view of the turnover/experience criteria, we respectfully request relaxation under the Start-up India guidelines (GFR 2017), which permit exemptions for eligible start-ups. As our organization is recognized as a Start-up, we are enclosing the Start-up Recognition Certificate for your kind reference. We would be thankful for the opportunity to participate under these provisions. | As per RFP |
| 4 | 20 | Clause 7 Pre-qualification | The Bidder must have the following valid certification: • ISO/IEC 27001:2013 - Information Security Management System • ISO/IEC 20000-1:2011 – IT Service Management System • CMMI Level – III or above | We respectfully request you to kindly consider allowing participation based on the following valid certifications, which we currently possess: CMMI Level III or ISO/IEC 27001:2013, ISO/IEC 20000-1:2011, ISO 14001:2015, ISO 9001:2015. | As per RFP |
| 5 | 19 | Clause 4 Pre-qualification | The bidder should have experience in ongoing or completed projects in IT Transformation project / ICT project / IT & ITES projects in application software development projects and its associated support and maintenance services (FMS) with Central Government / State Government / PSUs / Private Organizations* in last five (5) years (i.e. 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23 2023-24 and 2024-25) in India comprising minimum of One (1) project of value not less than INR 3 Crores OR Two (2) projects of value not less than INR 2 Crores each OR Three (3) projects of value not less than INR 1.5 Crores each Note: - 1) The work order should be issued between 1st April 2020 to 31st Mar, 2025 2) The ongoing projects should have reached the steady state of operations as on the date of submission of bid. | The ongoing projects should have reached the steady state of operations as on the date of submission of bid. | As per revised RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|--|---|--|--------------------|
| | Number) | | | | |
| 6 | 29 | • | | Query: Who will be responsible for providing the SMS gateway, and who will bear the cost incurred for SMS and WhatsApp integration? Clarification regarding SMS Gateway: As per TRAI guidelines, the SMS gateway must be registered under the name of the end user — in this case, the department. Therefore, the department is required to procure the SMS gateway directly. If not, it will be registered under the bidder's name by default. Alternatively, if preferred, the department may handle the necessary documentation and registration process, while we facilitate the procurement and make the payment on behalf of the department. Kindly confirm if this arrangement would be acceptable. | As per revised RFP |
| 7 | 15 | Expected Outcomes | 7. Inspection visits of institutions by designated officials using mobile applications with capabilities to capture multiple photos along with geo-coordinates. | Which GIS server needs to be used? Google API intergration is required or not? will it be developed on top of open source platform. Please confirm. | As per revised RFP |
| 8 | 19 | / ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (PRELIMINARY QUALIFICATION) | Technical Capability - The bidder should have experience in ongoing or completed projects in IT Transformation project / ICT project / IT & ITES projects in application software development projects and its associated support and maintenance services (FMS) with Central Government / State Government / PSUs / Private Organizations* in last five (5) years (i.e. 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23 2023- 24 and 2024-25) in India comprising minimum of - One (1) project of value not less than INR 3 Crores OR Two (2) projects of value not less than INR 2 Crores each OR Three (3) projects of value not less than INR 1.5 Crores each Note: - 1) The work order should be issued between 1st April 2020 to 31st Mar, 2025 2) The ongoing projects should have reached the steady state of operations as on the date of submission of bid. | Considering the scope and project requirement, for the Application of Software | As per revised RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page Number) | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|----------------------|---|---|--|---|
| 9 | 20 | (PRELIMINARY | 5 | We request to consider the updated version of below mentioned certificate, i.e. • ISO/IEC 20000-1:2018 | As per RFP |
| 10 | 12 | 1-NIB | Estimeted Project Cost - 4,85 Cr. | Considering the scope and project requirement, for the Application of Software Development, as well as duration of the contract, the budget estimated by the authority is too less. We request you to kindly increase the Estimated Budget. | As per RFP |
| 11 | 30 | 4.8. Data Migration | SI will be responsible to migrate master and transaction data from the existing database of ISMS 1.0 and should utilize the migrated data, without altering, for further development, report creation, etc. | Please provide the details of the volume of data to be migrated from the existing system to the new system like no. of tables, years of data available, data size, and the format of ISMS 1.0 database for planning migration efforts. Please confirm if the cost of licensing for the data migration tools will be borne by the department. | Data migrations will be done through Query Scripts |
| 12 | 30 | J | 4.8.1.1 Process for Digitation of Legacy Data through data Entry Screens | Our understanding regarding this clause is that the data entry screens will be developed by the SI to facilitate the data entry. The Digitization of data is excluded from the scope of SI. Please clarify. | As per revised RFP |
| 13 | 31 | 4.8.2.1 Prepare a Data understanding Plan | 4.8.2.1 Data definitions of all the data included in the system Procedures/ jobs for comprehensive data Mapping of all the fields and Entity relationship for entire system | Our understanding is that ER Diagrams, data definitions and other technical documents of ISMS 1.0 will be shared with the SI. | No |
| 14 | 11 | NIB | Bid Submission Date - 28/07/2025 | It is requested to extend the deadline for bid submission by 15 days post publishing pre-bid queries clarification & corrigendum. | As per RFP |
| 15 | 71 | 6.17 Confidential Information | Confidentiality | Bidder propose additional language for an exception for compelled disclosures and retention of documents. Proposed clause is as follows: "The Bidder may retain such portion of the Confidential Information that is required for compliance with its statutory, regulatory or professional conduct obligations" "The above obligations would not apply if disclosure is required under law or is requested by any regulatory authority" | As per RFP |
| 16 | 72, 86 | 6.19, 7.4 | Extension in Delivery Period and Liquidated Damages (LD) | Bidder requests that liquidated damages be applicable only in instances where the delay is exclusively due to the Consultant or Bidder. Further, we request you to reduce the cap on LDs from 10 to 5 %. | As per RFP |
| 17 | 82 | • | 60% of Design, Development, Testing, Training, Deployment, Data Migration and Go-Live of Web Portal, Web Application and Mobile Application of ISMS 2.0. | Request you to break the payment into milestones such as: 1. 10% of the 60% - Advance at the Kick Off meeting 2. 30% of the 60% - On approval of SRS & Design 3. 30% of the 60% - On approval of UAT 4. 30% of the 60% - On Go - Live | As per RFP |
| 18 | 83 | 7.1.2 Project Duration | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | From our experience in working on similar projects, the 9 months' time seems less. Request you to kindly change from 9 months to 12 months at least. The additional 3 months will be operation stabilization in O&M phase. | As per RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|------------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| | Number) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 19 | 87 | 7.4 Penalty | Penalty for attrition of key resources during the | Request to modify this clause as follows: | As per RFP |
| | | Clauses(during O&M | project duration: | The attrition penalty amounts (ranging from ₹1,00,000 to ₹1,50,000 per resource) are quite | |
| | | phase): | | high. We request a reduction in these penalties or a provision for waiver in genuine cases | |
| | | | | such as medical emergencies, resignation due to personal reasons, or mutual agreement | |
| | | | | with the department. | |
| 20 | 88 | 7.4 Penalty | Penalty on Incidents: | Request to modify this clause as follows: The penalties for delay in incident resolution | As per revised RFP |
| | | Clauses(during O&M | | (₹20,000/day for high severity, ₹15,000/day for medium, ₹10,000/day for low) are quite | |
| | | phase): | | stringent. | |
| 21 | 88 | 7.4 Penalty | Penalty on Incidents: | A grace period of 4–6 hours for high-severity issues before penalties apply. | As per revised RFP |
| | | Clauses(during O&M | | | |
| | | phase): | | | |
| 22 | 88 | 7.4 Penalty | Penalty on Incidents: | A cap on the total penalty per month to avoid disproportionate financial impact. | As per RFP |
| | | Clauses(during O&M | | | |
| | | phase): | | | |
| 23 | 88 | 7.4 Penalty | Penalty on Incidents: | Consideration of external factors (e.g., infrastructure downtime, dependency on third-party | As per RFP |
| | | Clauses(during O&M | | APIs) before applying penalties. | |
| | | phase): | | | |
| 24 | 68,99 | Qualifications / | Qualifications / Eligibility of Bidders | The RFP states the following: | As per RFP |
| | | Eligibility of Bidders | | | |
| | | | | (c) Not have, and their directors and officers not have been convicted of any criminal | |
| | | | | offence related to their professional conduct or the making of false statements or | |
| | | | | misrepresentations as to their qualifications to enter into a procurement contract within a | |
| | | | | period of three years preceding the commencement of the procurement process, or not | |
| | | | | have been otherwise disqualified pursuant to debarment proceedings; | |
| Ì | | | | Suggest that this is rephrased slightly differently in the Self Declaration format (p 99) as | |
| | | | | GTBL can give the declaration as on date: | |
| | | | | "GTBL does not have, and our directors and officers have not been convicted of any | |
| | | | | criminal offence related to their professional conduct or the making of false statements or | |
| | | | | misrepresentations as to their qualifications to enter into a procurement contract within a | |
| | | | | period of three years preceding the commencement of the procurement process." | |
| 25 | | | Self-Declaration | GTBL is not have been otherwise disqualified pursuant to debarment proceedings as on | As per RFP |
| | | | 55 555010001 | date of submission of this bid." | , per 1111 |
| 26 | 87 | 7.4 Penalty | Penalty for non-availability of deployed resources/ | Request to modify this clause as follows: | As per RFP |
| | | Clauses(during O&M | | The current clause allows only 18 leaves per year per resource, with penalties for excess | |
| | | phase): | | leave. We request that the leave policy be aligned with standard industry practices (e.g., | |
| 1 | | p | | 24–30 leaves annually including casual, sick, and earned leaves), and that penalties be | |
| i | | | | waived for approved leaves. | |
| | I | | <u> </u> | I AND I AND I CACA ICAACO | 1 |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|--|---|--|--------------------|
| | Number) | | | | |
| 27 | 28 | 4.5.4 Resource Deployment | Selected Bidder shall deploy 15-18 full-time resources for the development of ISMS 2.0 application. The minimum qualification and experience details for the deployed resources is mentioned at Annexure 8. J. Additional resources required for timely completion may be deployed when required | Request you to kindly allow the deployment of key resources on onsite and the rest of the development team offsite. Please confirm, for the onsite resources the office infrastructure, seating space, internet connectivity etc. will be provided by RISL. | As per revised RFP |
| 28 | 28 | 4.5 (6) | 6) IT equipment (desktops/laptops) for development shall be provided by the bidder to its resources. | We understand the IT equipment will be provided by the SI. Please clarify that the development and staging environment such as server VMs, DB and required licenses in case of proprietary softwares will be provided by RSLDC? | As per revised RFP |
| 29 | 28 | 4.5 (8) Resource replacement | 8)RISL reserves the right to verify credentials of the resources throughout engagement. If misrepresentation is found: Immediate termination of the concerned resource. Replacement within 15 days by the bidder. Penalties may apply per RTPP Act, 2012 and as per rates quoted in the financial bid. | Request to modify this clause as follows: Replacement within 45 days by the bidder as per the standard industry practice. | As per revised RFP |
| 30 | 37 | 4.16. 9. Provision of Seating and Connectivity | Provision of Seating and Connectivity The selected bidder will provide the necessary seating space (at office set up in Jaipur) and connectivity for the resources deployed onsite to carry out the tasks mentioned in this phase. The Bidder should ensure availability of resources at RISL office whenever required along with Laptop and connectivity | Please elaborate on the requirement. Whether the furniture & fixtures needs to be provided by the SI. Also, regarding the connectivity, do the SI needs to install the internet connection for the support team. It is requested that the office infrastructure & seating space may be provided by department. | As per RFP |
| 31 | 38 | 4.17. Resource requirements | Bidder shall depute the following resources during FMS Period for 5 years Business Analyst Cum Project Coordinator - 1 Senior Web Developer - 1 Web Developer - 1 Mobile Application Developer – 1 | As per the O&M requirements the proposed resource requirement seems in-sufficient. We suggest the following in addition to the specified resource requirement: Database Developer - 1 Database Administrator - 1 Network / Cloud Administrator - 1 L1 Support for HelpDesk – 2 | As per RFP |
| 32 | 33 | 4.10. Testing of Developed Application | SI shall implement an automated tool to automate the testing process at its own cost. | Please elaborate on the scope of automation testing. | As per revised RFP |
| 33 | 36 | 4.16. Operation & Maintenance (O&M) with Facility Maintenance Services (FMS) | 4.16. Operation & Maintenance (O&M) with Facility Maintenance Services (FMS) | Please elaborate on the scope of Facility Management/Maintenance Services expected from the bidder. | As per RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| 5 | Number) | THE TRUE TO | Twic Scialis | quely / suggestion / claimedion | esponse |
| 34 | 34 | 4.12. Security Audit and VAPT of the Developed Application by empaneled Agencies | The Selected Bidder shall get the Safe to Host Certification done for Software solution (Web Application and Web Portal) (including all the pages) from the Cert-in empanelled vendors. | The Security Audit cost / selection of Vendor will be in the scope of department. SI will be responsible to support the TPA and close the findings of the Audit. | As per revised RFP |
| 35 | 37 | 4.16.11 Security Audits & VAPT | Security Audits and VAPT The SI will perform security audits and Vulnerability Assessment & Penetration Testing (VAPT) every alternate quarter and submit the clearance certificate to RISL. | The Security Audit cost will be borne by the department. SI will be responsible to support the TPA and close the findings of the Audit. | As per revised RFP |
| 36 | 48 | 5.12 Selection Method | The selection method is Least Cost Based Selection (LCBS- L1). | Considering the strategic importance and complexity of the ISMS 2.0 project, it is requested that the selection methodology be based on Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) with a 75:25 ratio. This approach ensures that technical competence and qualitative parameters are prioritized over financial quotes, especially when a budget cap is already defined. QCBS encourages participation from firms capable of deploying high-quality resources, which is essential for the success of this initiative. Hence, if weightage is to be given to both cost and quality, QCBS should be preferred to ensure a balanced and value-driven selection. | |
| 37 | 85 | 7.2.1.4 Purpose & Duration of SLAs | 4. Service Window: ISMS 2.0 Software solution (Web Portal, Web Application and Mobile Application) shall be available 24*7 after their respective golive(s) except approved downtime. | Request to modify this clause as follows: Service Window: ISMS 2.0 Software solution (Web Portal, Web Application and Mobile Application) shall be available 24*7 after their respective go-live(s) except approved downtime. However, the operation support window will be as per the working hours defined by the department. | As per RFP. Working hours defined in RFP. |
| 38 | 23 | 4.3. Integration with Third Party Applications | 4.3. Integration with Third Party Applications | There're 19 API integrations proposed for ISMS 2.0. Please clarify how many of these are already integrated in ISMS1.0 | Will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 39 | 28 | 4.4. Application Development Stack | Technology stack required for ISMS 2.0: Database : SQL | Please clarify does this refers to MS SQL Server or any SQL based DB like PostgreSQL/ MySQL etc. | As per revised RFP |
| 40 | 28 | 4.4. Application Development Stack | Technology stack required for ISMS 2.0: Forntend : Angular | All the existing modules of ISMS 1.0 are intended to be re developed / migrated on the new tech stack proposed? | As per RFP |
| 41 | 29 | 4.7.Development of Application Software ISMS 2.0 | The developed web-based application software ISMS 2.0 should be compatible with all the standard latest versions of the web browsers. Also, mobile application developed should be compatible with minimum Android v12.0 and Apple iOS v15 and higher. In case any changes are required for accommodating the latest versions, it must be incorporated by SI. | Please provide the details. Is the mobile application expected to replicate all features of the web application, or only selected modules? Please clarify. | As per RFP |
| 42 | 29 | • | The application must be integrated with SMS / WhatsApp / Email solutions (available with RISL/RSLDC) for automatically sending the required details / alerts / information to various stakeholders. | Please confirm the cost associated with integration of third-party services such as SMS, WhatsApp, Email gateways, or any other APIs (including licensing, usage charges, or setup fees) will be by the department. | As per RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page Number) | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|----------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 43 | 29 | · · | The development environment of the software application must be hosted at RSDC | Please clarify: The development environment will be pre-configured at RSDC or if the bidder is responsible for setup and configuration | All the required Hardware and Software will be available at RSDC, the Configuration of the ISMS 2.0 is to be done by the Bidder |
| 44 | | | | b. Please also share the ticket / issues volume presently being logged on daily basis and the categorization of such issues. | Will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 45 | 40 | 3. Responsibilities of Selected Bidder | b. To provide computing infrastructure (like desktop computer, laptop, printer, scanner, Attendance system, camera, Mobile Device, TAB etc. as per requirements of the project) to all the deployed team members of the selected bidder. | We request department to provide workplace logistics and infrastructure such as seating space, furniture & fixtures, printers, scanners etc. | As per revised RFP |
| 46 | 37 | 4.16. Operation & Maintenance (O&M) with Facility Maintenance Services (FMS) | 6. Action on Inputs from Stakeholders The SI shall take action on any changes to the application software as per the inputs received from TPs, students, or other stakeholders, which will be gathered through the Issue Tracker (Help Desk Management Software) module. | a. Please clarify if there's any existing Help Desk Management Software implemented for ISMS 1.0 so that the same can be used for ISMS 2.0 as well. In case this is a new requirement, please elaborate on the scope. Also, in case of new implementation should the cost of the software to be included in the bid value? | As per RFP |
| 47 | 30 | 4.8 | Data Migration | Can you share the detailed data schema of ISMS 1.0 (Oracle 12C) to assess complexity of mapping to the new SQL database? | Will be provided to the Successful Bidder |
| 48 | 30 | 4.8 | Data Migration | What is the proportion of clean vs. unstructured/legacy data? Are data validation scripts/rules defined by RSLDC? | As per revised RFP |
| 49 | 30 | 4.8 | Data Migration | Are anonymization or masking requirements applicable during migration/testing under DPDP Act? | Requirements will be shared with the Successful Bidder |
| 50 | 30 | 4.8 | Data Migration | Kindly share the volume/format of legacy data (transactions, multimedia, attachments) to be migrated. | As per RFP |
| 51 | 138 | Annexure S. | Checklist for SRS Document | What is the expected timeline for SRS/FRS sign-off to avoid development delays? | Any delays attributable to third party during sign-off will not be considered on Bidder Part. |
| 52 | 138 | Annexure S. | Checklist for SRS Document | Who is the SPOC for details on the existing system? | Will be provided to the Successful Bidder |
| 53 | 138 | Annexure S. | Checklist for SRS Document | Who will provide details for emails/messages? | Will be provided to the Successful Bidder |
| 54 | 92 | 7.7 | Local Office | Must 4 resources be onsite during O&M? Can co-working space models be used? | As per revised RFP |
| 55 | 92 | 7.7 | Local Office | For the Jaipur office, is a hybrid/co-working space model allowed? | As per revised RFP |
| 56 | 86 | 7.4 | Penalty Clauses | Can RISL consider a no-penalty clause for delays due to third-party integrations/departmental approvals? | As per revised RFP |
| 57 | 86 | 7.4 | Penalty Clauses | Clarify penalties for >3 role replacements. Request to limit to nominal charges (not % of contract value). | As per RFP |
| 58 | 86 | 7.4 | Penalty Clauses | Request a composite cap (total deductions for LD/SLA/attrition ≤10% of contract value). | As per RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|--------------|---|---|---|
| | Number) | | | | |
| 59 | 115 | Annexure - J | Expected Qualification, | Please clarify the specific technical skills (e.g., programming languages, frameworks) | As per revised RFP |
| | | | Experience and Number of Resources | required for the Senior Web Developer, Senior Database Administrator and Web Developer | |
| | | | | roles, as they are not mentioned | |
| 60 | 34 | 4.12 | Security Audit & VAPT | Is there a preferred CERT-IN empaneled vendor for VAPT? Who bears repeat audit costs | As per revised RFP |
| | | | | post-remediation? | |
| 61 | 34 | 4.12 | Security Audit & VAPT | What are mandatory security benchmarks (OWASP Top 10, ISO 27001)? | As per Cert-in Guidelines |
| 62 | 34 | 4.12 | Security Audit & VAPT | Expected timeframe for security audit post-UAT and before production? | As per RFP |
| | | | | | |
| 63 | 34 | 4.12 | Security Audit & VAPT | Will RISL cover costs for quarterly CERT-IN audits, or should the bidder include them? | As per revised RFP |
| 64 | 34 | 4.12 | Security Audit & VAPT | Does the system follow security compliances (ISO 27001, SOC 2)? | As per Cert-in Guidelines |
| | | | | | |
| 65 | 92 | 7.2 | Service Level Standards (SLAs) | Is there a defined performance benchmark (e.g., X concurrent users, <y response="" sec="" td="" time)?<=""><td>As per Industry Standards</td></y> | As per Industry Standards |
| | | | | | |
| 66 | 92 | 7.2 | Service Level Standards (SLAs) | Can RISL confirm max concurrent load/user concurrency during peak hours? | 2000 users are estimated as |
| | | | | | concurrent users of the system. May increase as the |
| | | | | | Number of Training Partners |
| | | | | | / Centers increases. |
| 67 | 92 | 7.2 | Service Level Standards (SLAs) | Who hosts infrastructure/manages uptime SLAs? Will RISL provision servers at RSDC? | RSDC provides and manages |
| | | | | | the requisite infrastructure |
| | | | | | for application hosting. |
| 68 | 92 | 7.2 | Service Level Standards (SLAs) | What is the Disaster Recovery Plan (DRP) for the current system? | As per the SDC guidelines |
| | | | | | |
| 69 | 24 | 4.3 | Integration with Third-Party Applications | Are APIs/sandbox environments available for all 19+ integrations (Raj SSO, Jan Aadhaar, etc.)? If not, expected turnaround time? | RISL will provide the environment |
| 70 | 24 | 4.3 | Integration with Third-Party Applications | What are the authentication mechanisms per integration (OAuth2, API key, SAML)? | SAML (Security Assertion |
| | | | | | Markup Language) has been |
| | | | | | used for Single Sign-On (SSO) |
| | | | | | in enterprise environments. |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page Number) | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|---|
| 71 | 24 | 4.3 | Integration with Third-Party Applications | What is the expected depth of integration with Raj Sewa Dwar (ESB)? Will MQ/REST/SOAP be required? | As per the IT framework of GoR, all the APIs are exposed/consumed through Raj Sewa Dwar (Enterprise Service Bus). |
| 72 | 24 | 4.3 | Integration with Third-Party Applications | Who provides API docs/sandbox/testing support for third-party systems (RISL or RSLDC)? | RISL will provide the environment |
| 73 | 24 | 4.3 | Integration with Third-Party Applications | Will RISL provide Sampark Chatbot API access/training data, or must the bidder develop a new module? | As per revised RFP |
| 74 | 29 | 4.7 | Development of Application Software ISMS 2.0 | Is there any preference for container orchestration tools like Kubernetes if microservices are implemented? | Container orchestration tools are available in RSDC |
| 75 | 29 | 4.7 | Development of Application Software ISMS 2.0 | Is deployment expected on virtual machines or containers (e.g., Docker)? What is the target environment at RSDC? | Container is setup on the Virtual Machines in RSDC |
| 76 | 29 | 4.7 | Development of Application Software ISMS 2.0 | Please clarify the expected number of parallel sprints to be managed simultaneously and whether RISL will provide a Product Owner for each sprint. | As per RFP |
| 77 | 29 | 4.7 | Development of Application Software ISMS 2.0 | Are the APIs in the existing system REST-based? What is the response format (JSON/XML)? | Yes, in most of the APIs in the existing system are primarily REST-based, following standard HTTP methods such as GET, POST, PUT, and DELETE and the default response format is ISON. |
| 78 | 29 | 4.7 | Development of Application Software ISMS 2.0 | Is there an existing third-party ticketing system, or must a new one be built? | has per RFP. Ticketing system has to be developed in the application software. |
| 79 | 32 | 4.9 | Development of Mobile App | Are approvals needed for Face Detection/Geo-Fencing in the mobile app for attendance? | As per RFP |
| 80 | 32 | 4.9 | Development of Mobile App | Is there a mandated SDK/API (RajApp SDK, Sampark chatbot) for chatbot/camera/messaging? | As per RFP |
| 81 | 32 | 4.9 | Development of Mobile App | Should iOS/Android apps be hosted under government developer accounts or the vendor's? | Hosting shall be through Government Account only. |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|---|--|---|--------------------|
| | Number) | | | | |
| | , | | | | |
| 82 | 83 | 7.1.3 Payments | b.ii). The selected bidder's request for payment shall be made to the purchaser in writing, accompanied by invoices (in triplicate) describing, as appropriate, the services performed by each deployed resource, and by the required documents submitted pursuant to general conditions of the contract and upon fulfilment of all the obligations stipulated in the Contract. The Selected Bidder shall submit the invoice (in hardcopy and scanned format) for payment on a quarterly basis along with the OPE incurred (or as applicable in the department), clearly indicating the payment & expenses that have been accrued in each month per quarter. | b. ii). The selected bidder's request for payment shall be made to the purchaser in writing, accompanied by invoices (in triplicate) describing, as appropriate, the services performed by each deployed resource, and by the required documents submitted pursuant to general conditions of the contract and upon fulfilment of all the obligations stipulated in the Contract. The Selected Bidder shall submit the invoice (in hardcopy and scanned format) for payment on a monthly basis along with the OPE incurred (or as applicable in the department), clearly indicating the payment & expenses that have been accrued in each month. | As per RFP |
| 83 | 84 | 7.1.3 Payments | accepted it. | We request you to amend the clause as mentioned below: d. Due payments shall be made promptly by the purchaser, generally within Thirty (30) days after submission of an invoice or request for payment by the supplier/ selected bidder, and the purchaser has accepted it. | As per RFP |
| 84 | 19 | QUALIFICATION (PQ) / ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (PRELIMINARY QUALIFICATION) | 4. Technical Capability The bidder should have experience in ongoing or completed projects in IT Transformation project / ICT project / IT & ITES projects in application software development projects and its associated support and maintenance services (FMS) with Central Government / State Government / PSUs / Private Organizations* in last five (5) years (i.e. 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24 and 2024-25) in India comprising minimum of -One (1) project of value not less than INR 3 Crores OR Two (2) projects of value not less than INR 2 Crores each | We request you to amend the clause as mentioned below: 4. Technical Capability The bidder should have experience in ongoing or completed projects in IT Transformation project / ICT project / IT & ITES projects in application software development projects and its associated support and maintenance services (FMS) with Central Government / State Government / PSUs / Private Organizations* in last Seven (7) years (i.e. 2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24 and 2024-25) in India comprising minimum of -One (1) project of value not less than INR 3 Crores OR Two (2) projects of value not less than INR 2 Crores each OR Three (3) projects of value not less than INR 1.5 Crores each Note: - 1) The work order should be issued between 1st April 2020 to 31st Mar, 2025 2) The ongoing projects should have reached the steady state of operations as on the date of submission of bid. | As per revised RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| | Number) | | | | · |
| 85 | 113 | J. Expected Qualification, Experience and Number of Resources | 1. Project Manager | We kindly request you to include the following certification under the 1. Project Manager "Expected Qualification and Experience" section: Certification: PMP / PRINCE. | As per RFP |
| 86 | 113 | J. Expected Qualification, Experience and Number of Resources | Development Phase Resources - 17 nos. O&M Phase Resources - 4 nos. | As there are 17 nos. resources are required in the Development phase and 4 nos. required in O &M phase. Request to please confirm how many CV need to be submitted in the tender against given role. | CV of resources proposed as per the project plan to be submitted. |
| 87 | 4.8 | 30-32 | Data migration from ISMS 1.0 to ISMS 2.0 | Kindly share indicative volume of records for each table/module in ISMS 1.0 to assess migration efforts. Also, will sanitized datasets be provided for trial migration? | As per RFP |
| 88 | 4.5 | 28 | Deployment of 15–18 resources onsite during development phase | We request the department to kindly consider allowing Hybrid deployment (onsite + offsite) instead of mandatory full-time onsite presence of all 18 resources. Full-time onsite deployment of such a large team over a prolonged period may lead to operational challenges and reduced flexibility in resource allocation. Allowing a hybrid mode will ensure better project continuity while maintaining accountability. | · |
| 89 | 7.1 | 81-83 | Milestone-based payment schedule | Please clarify if payment for each milestone will be subject to third-party audit or only based on RSLDC sign-off. Also confirm if there is any hold-back or retention on the final payment. | Payment for each milestone will be subject to RSLDC signoff. Payments shall be made as per RFP. |
| 90 | 87 | 7.4 | Penalty per attrition: | Request to reduce penalties | As per RFP |
| 91 | 87 | 7.4 | • Business Analyst – ₹1,00,000 | • Business Analyst – ₹50,000 | As per RFP |
| 92 | 87 | 7.4 | • Senior Web Developer – ₹1,50,000 | • Senior Web Developer – ₹75,000 | As per RFP |
| 93 | 87 | 7.4 | • Web Developer – ₹1,20,000 | • Web Developer – ₹60,000 | As per RFP |
| 94 | 87 | 7.4 | • Mobile App Developer – ₹1,00,000 | Mobile App Developer – ₹50,000 | As per RFP |
| 95 | 87 | 7.4 | Penalty per incident per day: | Request to reduce penalties | As per RFP |
| 96 | 87 | 7.4 | • High – ₹20,000 | • High – ₹10,000/day | As per RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page Number) | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|----------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 97 | 87 | 7.4 | • Medium – ₹15,000 | • Medium – ₹7,500/day | As per RFP |
| 98 | 87 | 7.4 | • Low - ₹10,000 | • Low – ₹5,000/day | As per RFP |
| 99 | 86-87 | 7.4 | Penalty per day for absence: | Request to reduce penalties | As per RFP |
| 100 | 86-87 | 7.4 | Business Analyst – ₹3,000 | Business Analyst – ₹1,500/day | As per RFP |
| 101 | 86-87 | 7.4 | • Senior Web Developer – ₹4,000 | Senior Web Developer – ₹2,000/day | As per RFP |
| 102 | 86-87 | 7.4 | • Web Developer – ₹3,000 | • Web Developer – ₹1,500/day | As per RFP |
| 103 | 86-87 | 7.4 | • Mobile App Developer – ₹2,500 | Mobile App Developer – ₹1,250/day | As per RFP |
| 104 | 4.9 | 32 | Development of mobile app for ISMS 2.0 | Is the mobile app expected to be multilingual? If so, please confirm the languages expected apart from English and Hindi. | As per revised RFP |
| 105 | 128 | 3.2 Attendance Management | Biometric Attendance: Integration with biometric devices (fingerprint/face recognition/IRIS) for secure attendance verification. Manual Attendance Option: Option for trainers to manually mark attendance in case of technical issues with approval process. | a) What type of Face recognition attendance is required ? 1:N or 1:1 b) Should attendance also function offline ? C) How will manual attendance be integrated ? | No manual attendance. Please refer revised RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|---|--|--|--|
| | Number) | | | | |
| | , | | | | |
| 106 | 30 | 4.8.1. Data Migration Plan and Strategy: | for migration. Below are few sample guidelines and principles which shall be adhered to while undertaking process of data migration. Estimation in terms of scope, efforts and volume of data shall be undertaken to develop data migration plan 1. Develop guideline and policy for migration of the records including • Type of records required to be migrated. • Identification of the critical information for migration. • Process for Digitation of Legacy Data through data Entry Screens • Foreseeable risk and challenges with respect to data migration shall be predetermined, evaluated and possible mitigation solution shall be defined. 2. Data Migration may be done from the following: • Data available in ISMS 1.0 • Data in Excel Format (as and when required) | a) What is the volume of data available to be migrated ? B) In what format are data available to be migrated ? Structured, Unstructured, Semi structured ? C) What is the volume of data to be entered ? D) Will data digitization be required ? | As per revised RFP |
| 107 | 32 | 4.8.4. Data Cleaning | data Entry Screens (as and when required) Analyse assessment results and report the findings, outlining what specific data problems were found, the extent of each type of problem, location of data problems and the overall impact on production data. For each type of data problem, propose a method of correction based on identified criteria to establish which data is critical, important, and significant to business operations. Utilize automated data profiling tools and customize the tools to ensure that data complies with old ISMS business and data rules. | a) What type of data cleaning process would be required ? B) Will data cleaning process be required for future data also ? | As per revised RFP |
| 108 | NA | General | No of Concurrent Users | How many concurrent users are there. What is it in Mille sec, Micro sec etc. | 2000 users are estimated as concurrent users of the system. May increase as the Number of Training Partners / Centers increases. |
| 109 | NA | General | Max Transaction per Day | What is the number of transactions per day | Attendance of the Trainees, Faculty and the transactions by the Users |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------|--|--|---|---|
| | Number) | | | | |
| 110 | NA | General | Max Size of Write /Transaction year | Need the maximum size of write transaction per year | Attendance of the Trainees, Faculty and the transactions by the Users |
| 111 | NA | General | Any Document Upload Permitted | Is there any document upload required | Yes |
| 112 | NA | General | Max Size of File in MB | What is the file Upload size in MB | As per the software requirements |
| 113 | NA | General | Max Files per User | What is the file upload per user required | As per the software requirements |
| 114 | NA | General | Database Backup Policy | What is the database back policy | As per the guidelines of the State Data Center |
| 115 | NA | General | Database Retention Policy | What is database retention policy | As per the guidelines of the State Data Center |
| 116 | NA | General | DC - DR Policy | What is Data recovery policy | As per the guidelines of the State Data Center |
| 117 | NA | General | RPO Recovery Point of Object | What is the required recovery Point of Object | As per the guidelines of the State Data Center |
| 118 | NA | General | RTO Recovery Time of Object | What is the Recovery Time of Object | As per the guidelines of the State Data Center |
| 119 | NA | General | Data Archival Policy | What is the current data archival policy | As per the guidelines of the State Data Center |
| 120 | 24 | Sanstha Aadhaar | Sanstha Aadhaar Number (SAN) is a unique ID given to all Govt. departments, Boards/ Corporation/ Autonomous Body/ Non-government organizations and all enterprises including private enterprises established in Rajasthan. Sanstha Aadhaar (Formerly known as "Rajasthan Business Register") | a) What is Sanstha Asdhaar ? Is it an identity given to a government or private organization ? B) Will the department provide the APIs for integration ? | Yes, Sanstha Aadhaar is a unique ID for government or private institutions in Rajasthan b) Yes, the department will provide APIs for integration as required by the RFP |
| 121 | 28 | 4.5.Pre-Application Software Development Phase for ISMS 2.0 | 4) Selected Bidder shall deploy 15-18 full-time resources for the development of ISMS 2.0 application. The minimum qualification and experience details for the deployed resources is mentioned at Annexure 8. J. Additional resources required for timely completion may be deployed when required. | a) Can resources work from offshore ? B) Will billing be done for onsite resources ? | As per revised RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page Number) | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|----------------------|---|---|---|--------------------|
| 122 | 28 | 4.4.Application Development Stack Technology stack required for ISMS 2.0: | Frontend Angular (Latest Version) Backend .Net Core (Latest Framework) Database SQL Micro Service Architecture Required | a) Why is this tech stack required ? Can other technologies be used ? | As per revised RFP |
| 123 | 35 | 4.15. Training & Capacity Building: | , , , , , | a) How many resources are to be trained ? B) Both Physical and online training are required. Can the training be done only on online ? C) Will training be an ongoing process ? D) What are the levels of training required ? | As per RFP |
| 124 | 18 | 3 PREQUALIFICA TION (PQ) / ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (PRELIMINA RY QUALIFICA TION) Financial: Turnover | Average annual turnover should be at least INR 15 Crores (Fifteen Crores) during last three financial years (i.e. 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023 24) from IT/ITES projects in application software development and its associated support and maintenance service (FMS) in India | Average annual turnover should be at least INR 8 Crores (Eight Crores) during last three financial years (i.e. 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023 24) from IT/ITES projects in application software development and its associated support and maintenance service (FMS) in India. | As per RFP |

| Sr. No. | | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|---------|--------------|--|---|--------------------|
| | Number) | | | | |
| 125 | 19 | 4 | Technical Capability The bidder should have experience in ongoing or completed projects in IT Transformation project / ICT project / IT & ITES projects in application software development projects and its associated support and maintenance services (FMS) with Central Government / State Government / PSUs / Private Organizations* in last five (5) years (i.e. 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23 2023-24 and 2024-25) in India comprising minimum of One (1) project of value not less than INR 3 Crores OR Two (2) projects of value not less than INR 2 Crores each OR Three (3) projects of value not less than INR 1.5 Crores each Note: - 1) The work order should be issued between 1st April 2020 to 31st Mar, 2025 2) The ongoing projects should have reached the steady state of operations as on the date of submission of bid. | OR Two (2) projects of value not less than INR 2 Crores each OR Three (3) projects of value not less than INR 1 Crore each | As per revised RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|---|--------------------|
| 3111101 | Number) | in in indication | Train Details | Query / Suggestion / Charmedulon | nesponse |
| | italiisci j | | | | |
| 126 | 19 | 4 | Project Reference Format as per Annexure-8. I AND Work Order + Work Completion Certificates from the client; OR Work Order + Self Certificate of Completion (Certified by the Statutory Auditor/ CA indicating the value of payment received against the work order(s)) (In the above case the value of payment received shall be greater than or equal to the amount mentioned in the eligibility criteria) OR Work Order + Completion Certificate from the client indicating the amount of payment made against the work order. OR Work Order + Completion Certificate from the client | Dear Sir, We request you to kindly consider the total work order value of the entire project of Ongoing projects while evaluating the technical capability criteria. As per your requirement, the work order should be issued between 1st April 2020 and 31st March 2025, and the value should include both Development and AMC costs. In most cases, projects go live within 12 months; however, the AMC period continues for 3 years, 4 years, or even 5 years. Hence, we request you to consider the cumulative value of Development and AMC for a fair evaluation. Therefore, we kindly request you to consider the Work Order and Go-Live Certificate for ongoing projects as well. This relaxation will encourage broader vendor participation and help you receive the most competitive pricing. | As per revised RFP |
| 127 | General | General | indicating the amount. (In the above case the value of the payment received shall be greater than or equal to the amount mentioned in the eligibility criteria) General | We are requesting for exemption in EMD exemption be extended to MSME/Startup bidders | As per RFP |
| | occ.u. | Concrui | - Control of | other than local vendors from Rajasthan. | 7.10 per 1111 |
| 128 | 18 | Financial: Turnover | Average annual turnover should be at least INR 15 Crores (Fifteen Crores) during last three financial years (i.e. 2021-22, 2022-23 and 202324) From IT/ITES projects in application software development and its associated Support and maintenance service (FMS) in India. | We would like to request some relaxation for MSME/Startups vendor. We would like to | As per RFP |
| 129 | 18 | Financial: Net Worth | The bidder should have positive net-worth as on March 31, 2024, as per audited balance sheet and record of positive net-worth for last three financial years (i.e. 202122, 2022-23 and 2023-24) | We would like to request kindly consider Financial Year 2024-25 for positive net worth as on March 31, 2025. | As per revised RFP |
| 130 | Page No.: 18 | | Average annual turnover should be at least INR 15 Crores (Fifteen Crores) during last three financial years (i.e. 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24) from IT/ITES projects in application software development and its associated support and maintenance service (FMS) in India. | We would like to request an amendment to this clause in order to allow participation from more prospective bidders: Average annual turnover should be at least INR 15 Crores (Fifteen Crores) during last three financial years (i.e. 2021-22, 2022-23 and 2023-24) from IT/ITES projects in application software development and its associated support and maintenance service (FMS) / Technical / Support services in India. | As per RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|-----------------|---|--|--|--------------------|
| | Number) | | | , ,, ,, | · |
| | Ţ | | | | |
| 131 | Page No.: 19 | / ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (PRELIMINARY QUALIFICATION), Serail No.: 4 | The bidder should have experience in ongoing or completed projects in IT Transformation project / ICT project / IT & ITES projects in application software development projects and its associated support and maintenance services (FMS) with Central Government / State Government / PSUs / Private Organizations* in last five (5) years (i.e. 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23 2023-24 and 2024-25) in India comprising minimum of - One (1) project of value not less than INR 3 Crores OR Two (2) projects of value not less than INR 2 Crores each OR Three (3) projects of value not less than INR 1.5 Crores each Note: - 1) The work order should be issued between 1st April 2020 to 31st Mar, 2025 2) The ongoing projects should have reached the steady state of operations as on the date of submission of bid. | Government / PSUs in last five (5) years (i.e. 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23 2023-24 and 2024-25) in India comprising minimum of - | As per revised RFP |
| 132 | Page No.: 20 | / ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (PRELIMINARY QUALIFICATION), Serail No.: 5 Manpower Strength | of bid submission Documents Required: Certificate from HR Head clearly specifying the number of resources on it rolls as on the date of bid submission. | We would like to request to amend this clause as: The bidder should have at least 50 employees on its rolls in the area of Software development or Software Implementation of Software Integration / Technical / Support services as on the date of bid submission Documents Required: Certificate from HR Head clearly specifying the number of resources on it rolls and must submit proof of PF Challan / payment proof for the three months as evidence of having active mannower on payrollas on the date of bid submission. | As per revised RFP |
| 133 | Page No.: 20 | | The Bidder must have the following valid certification: • ISO/IEC 27001:2013 - Information Security Management System • ISO/IEC 20000-1:2011 – IT Service Management System • CMMI Level – III or above | We would like to request to amend this clause as: The Bidder must have the following valid certification: ISO/IEC 27001:2013 - Information Security Management System ISO/IEC 20000-1:2011 – IT Service Management System CMMI level 3 or above (Dev./Services) as on day of bidding verifiable on https://cmmiinstitute.com/ portal. | As per RFP |

| Sr. No. | RFP (Page | RFP Rule No. | Rule Details | Query / Suggestion / Clarification | Response |
|---------|------------|--------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| | Number) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 134 | In General | In General | In General | After conducting a thorough examination of the RFP | As per RFP |
| | | | | documents, we observed that the financial bids of only technically qualified bidders will be | |
| | | | | considered. | |
| | | | | However, we noted that the RFP does not require a | |
| | | | | presentation, demonstration, or Proof of Concept (PoC) from the bidders. | |
| | | | | We suggest that your esteemed organization consider conducting a presentation, | |
| | | | | demonstration, or PoC for the solutions offered by the bidders. This would enable the | |
| | | | | department to assess the capabilities of the bidders | |
| | | | | and consider only those with qualitative solutions for financial hid opening | |
| 135 | 23 | 4.2. Modules to be | Point no. 14 (Messaging System (SMS / Email / | We understand that the integration part will be done by the successful bidder but the SMS | As per revised RFP |
| | | covered under ISMS | WhatsApp) | charges will be taken care by the department (RajCOMP Info Services | |
| | | 2.0: | | Limited) | |